

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
4 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
5 SACRAMENTO DIVISION  
6

7 )  
8 In re ) Case No. 04-31909-A-13G  
9 JOHN and JEAN MICHAEL, ) Docket Control No. IRS-1  
10 Debtors. ) Date: April 30, 2007  
11 ) Time: 9:00 a.m.  
12 )  
13 )  
14 )  
15 )  
16 )  
17 )

12 On April 30, 2007 at 9:00 a.m., the court considered the  
13 Internal Revenue's motion to dismiss or to convert the debtors'  
14 chapter 13 petition. The court's ruling on the motion is  
15 appended to the minutes of the hearing. Because that ruling  
16 constitutes a "reasoned explanation" of the court's decision, it  
17 is also posted on the court's Internet site,  
18 [www.caeb.uscourts.gov](http://www.caeb.uscourts.gov), in a text-searchable format as required by  
19 the E-Government Act of 2002. The official record, however,  
20 remains the ruling appended to the minutes of the hearing.

21 **FINAL RULING**

22 This motion has been set for hearing on the notice required  
23 by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1) (effective Dec. 23, 2002).  
24 The failure of the trustee, the debtor, the United States  
25 Trustee, and all other potential respondents to file written  
26 opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by  
27 Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(ii) is considered as consent  
28 to the granting of the motion. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52,  
53 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995). The defaults of these respondents are  
entered and the matter will be resolved without oral argument.

The motion will be granted and the petition will be  
dismissed.

1 Since filing this petition on July 22, 2004, the debtors  
2 have failed to pay approximately \$10,000 in post-petition federal  
3 income taxes due for 2004 and 2005. The IRS has attempted to  
4 resolve this outstanding debt informally, but the debtors  
5 immediately defaulted under their arrangement.

6 The failure to pay these taxes in a timely manner is a  
7 breach of the plan as well as applicable nonbankruptcy federal  
8 law. Section III(C)(4) of the confirmed plan provides: "*Debtor's*  
9 *financial and business affairs shall be conducted in accordance*  
10 *with applicable non-bankruptcy law including the timely filing of*  
11 *tax returns and payment of taxes.*" And, 28 U.S.C. §§ 959(b) &  
12 960 require debtors and trustees to operate businesses within the  
13 bounds of other applicable laws and to pay taxes to the same  
14 extent as a taxpayer not operating under the control or authority  
15 of a United States Court.

16 The debtors are in violation of sections 959(b) and 960 and  
17 they are in breach of their plan. This is cause for dismissal of  
18 the case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c).

19 The court has considered whether conversion to chapter 7 is  
20 in the best interests of creditors. A review of the schedules  
21 and statements indicates that given the value of the debtors'  
22 assets, the amount of their secured claims, and their exemptions,  
23 creditors are unlikely to receive a dividend in a chapter 7 case.  
24 Therefore, creditors are best served by dismissal. This will  
25 preserve their claims against the debtors.